

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,410	02/10/2004	Kouji Amitani	04082/LH	2384
1933			EXAMINER	
220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			SONG, HOON K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,			
,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/776,410	AMITANI, KOUJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoon Song	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	ly 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Coo the attached detailed office details of the defailed depict flot received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

Application/Control Number: 10/776,410

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohara (US 2001/0038707A1) in view of Panetta et al. (US 4599738).

Regarding claim 1, Ohara teaches a radiographing apparatus for mammography, comprising:

- (i) a radiographing main body including:
- a radiation source (900 or 130) to emit radiation;
- a first detector supporting rack to support a detector to receive radiation that has passed through the breast when the breast is radiographed to obtain an absorption contrast image; and
- (ii) a detector supporting member structure comprises a second detector supporting rack to support a detector when the breast is radiographed to obtain a phase contrast image (figures 2 and 10).

However Ohara fails to teach the radiographing apparatus has a breast table, on which a breast to be radiographed is placed, and which is located opposite to the radiation source and the detector supporting member structure is demountable.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,410

Art Unit: 2882

Panetta teaches a mammography system having breast table and detatable support.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to adapt the mammography system of Ohara with the table and support as taught by Panetta, since the table would provide secure patient support to take better image and the detatable support would provide better flexibility and maintenance of the system.

Regarding claim 2, Ohara teaches a supporting stand to support the radiographing main body so as to provide a space below the radiographing main body; wherein the supporting stand supports the radiographing main body in such a way that the radiographing main body is movable upwardly or downwardly (figures 2 and 10).

Regarding claim 3, Ohara teaches the detector supporting member is detachably attached to a lower part of the radiographing main body (figures 2 and 10).

Regarding claim 4, Ohara teaches the detector supporting member is removably placed below the radiographing main body (figures 2 and 10).

Regarding claim 5, Ohara teaches the second detector supporting rack is hinged so as to be rotatable between a horizontal attitude and a vertical attitude (figures 2 and 10).

Regarding claim 6, Ohara teaches the detector supporting member structure further comprises a third detector supporting rack provided below the second detector supporting rack (figures 2 and 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/776,410

Art Unit: 2882

Regarding claim 7, Ohara teaches the detector supporting member structure is provided with a handle (figures 2 and 10).

Regarding claim 8, Ohara teaches the detector supporting member structure has a weight of not more than 30 Kg (figures 2 and 10).

Regarding claim 9, Ohara teaches the detector supporting member structure is electrically connected to the radiographing main body (figures 2 and 10).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2882

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoon Song whose telephone number is (571) 272-2494.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 7 PM, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Glick can be reached on (571) 272 - 2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated-information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57/1-272-1000.

Hoon Song

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2882

HKS.